Aim: To investigate the directive importance of nanophysical properties on the morphological and protein expression responses of dibutyryladenosine cyclic monophosphate (dBcAMP)-treated cerebral cortical astrocytes in vitro. Materials & methods: Elasticity and work of adhesion characterizations of culture surfaces were performed using atomic force microscopy and combined with previous surface roughness and polarity results. The morphological and biochemical differentiation of dBcAMP-treated astrocytes cultured on promising nanofibrillar scaffolds and comparative culture surfaces were investigated by immunocytochemistry, colocalization, super resolution microscopy and atomic force microscopy. The dBcAMP-treated astrocyte responses were further compared with untreated astrocyte responses. Results & conclusion: Nanofibrillar scaffold properties were shown to reduce immunoreactivity responses while poly-l-lysine-functionalized Aclar® (Ted Pella Inc., CA, USA) properties were shown to induce responses reminiscent of glial scar formation. The comparison study indicated that directive cues may differ in wound-healing versus quiescent situations.
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Repair of traumatic injury to the CNS remains a challenging problem. One of the hurdles that must be overcome is the glial scar, which is established after traumatic injury in mammalian systems and creates a barrier to regeneration of axons [1,2]. A glial scar consists mainly of reactive astrocytes and proteoglycans. Reactive astrocytes at a wound site undergo a morphological change, extending interwoven processes that form chain-like clusters [3]. Furthermore, they express tenascins [4], and inhibitory chondroitin (C)- and keratan (K)-sulfate proteoglycans [5]. The glial scar biomechanically and biochemically blocks axonal elongation and reconnection [6].

Recent research by our group has identified an implantable scaffold composed of electrospun polyamide nanofibers that appears to have promising wound-healing properties for CNS neural cell systems, including mitigation of astrocitic scarring. In vivo, when the scaffolds were introduced into spinal cord wound sites (rat model) accelerated hindlimb recovery, measured by standardized observational scoring (Basso, Bresnahan [BBB] score), was observed, with aligned and fasciculated axon development and revascularization throughout wound sites [7,8]. Moreover, low levels of astrocytic scarring were observed at 3 and 5 weeks after injury in comparison to injury-only controls [7]. The promising in vivo results motivated a series of further in vitro investigations. Results from our group and from other groups have demonstrated that electrospun nanofibrillar scaffolds encourage biomimetic astrocyte stellation [9–11], glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) down-regulation [10,11], growth factor upregulation [9] and neurite outgrowth by cocultured neurons [9]. Therefore, in vitro studies also
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indicate that nanofibrillar scaffolds hold potential for CNS regenerative medicine. Determination of how the physical properties of the nanofibrillar scaffolds influence the morphological and protein expressions is under investigation by our group and other groups. The effect of a physical environment on cell adhesion and differentiation has recently been recognized, especially in neural cells. Collectively, studies of cell responses to nano-patterning [12–17], elasticity [18–23], surface roughness [12, 24, 25] and surface polarity [12, 26] suggest that controlling aspects of the physical environment holds potential for inducing preferential differentiation of reactive astrocytes into noninhibitory pathways. This potential, combined with the promising in vitro and in vivo results, motivated the present investigation of dibutyryladenosine cyclic monophosphate (dBcAMP)-treated astrocyte differentiation in response to the external physical cues provided by the nanofibrillar scaffolds.

Cerebral cortical astrocytes, a relatively uniform population, were treated with dBcAMP, and used to investigate the responses of reactive-like astrocytes to external physical cues. Astrocytes treated with dBcAMP develop morphologies that resemble the reactive astrocytes [27]. As only external cues were used to trigger cell responses, astrocyte responses to nanofibrillar surfaces were studied in comparison with their responses to three additional culture surfaces: poly-l-lysine-functionalized planar glass (PLL glass), unfunctionalized planar Aclar (Aclar®, Ted Pella Inc., CA, USA), and PLL-functionalized planar Aclar (PLL Aclar). PLL glass is a standard astrocyte culture surface, and astrocyte responses to it are well characterized, making it useful for identifying differences in astrocyte responses to other surfaces. The polyamide nanofibrillar scaffolds were electrospun on Aclar substrates; therefore, astrocyte responses to Aclar surfaces were investigated to distinguish responses to the nanofibrillar scaffolds from possible responses to the underlying Aclar substrate, particularly with respect to the elasticity property. Astrocyte responses to PLL Aclar surfaces were studied to clarify the role of the underlying substrate versus surface functionalization, particularly with respect to the elasticity and surface polarity properties. As these were the same comparative culture surfaces used in our recent investigation of untreated cerebral cortical astrocytes responses to external physical cues [12], investigations of the differences between the untreated and dBcAMP-treated astrocyte responses to the same culture environments and properties were also performed.

In the present study, the local elasticity and work of adhesion of culture surfaces were investigated using atomic force microscopy (AFM) force curves and the results were added to the previous investigations of surface polarity and surface roughness. Reactive protein expressions for GFAP and tubulin, and chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan (CSPG), a neurite outgrowth-inhibitory proteoglycan, were investigated for dBcAMP-treated and untreated astrocytes. Cytoskeletal protein expressions for the Rho GTPases Cdc42 (filopodia), Rac1 (lamellipodia) and RhoA (elevated: stress fibers and depressed: stellation) were investigated for the dBcAMP-treated astrocytes and the results were compared with previous results [12] for untreated astrocytes. The physical property trends were compared with the morphological and protein expression responses. The present work continues to explore the hypothesis that external physical cues of the nanofibrillar scaffolds can trigger the initiation of specific signaling cascades with morphological and reactivity consequences.

The morphological responses of cerebral cortical astrocytes were investigated at high-resolution using AFM and super-resolution microscopy (SRM). The three dimensional capability of AFM was also used to characterize cell spreading. The studies of the corresponding activations of the reactive proteins GFAP and tubulin, and the inhibitory proteoglycan CSPG, were performed using immunocytotoxicity. GFAP, tubulin and CSPG expressions are associated with the astrocytic scarring response. Additionally, Rho GTPase proteins associated with morphological responses were investigated. Quantification of protein expressions were performed using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) z-series.

This article is organized as follows. Investigations of the physical properties of each culture environment, assessed in terms of local elasticity, work of adhesion, RMS surface roughness, and surface polarity, are presented first. The results indicated that the culture surfaces presented statistically significant property differences to astrocytes. Investigations of the astrocyte responses, assessed in terms of morphology and protein expressions, are presented next. Quantitative measures of the morphological responses for dBcAMP-treated astrocytes were compared with those of untreated astrocytes [12]. All of the protein expression investigations were performed for untreated and dBcAMP-treated astrocytes, with comparison of results.

One important finding of the present study was that dBcAMP-treated astrocytes cultured on nanofibrillar scaffolds showed a unique non-response, meaning that the reactivity proteins GFAP and tubulin, inhibitory proteoglycan CSPG, and cytoskeletal Rho GTPase expressions were little changed from their untreated expressions. A second important finding was that dBcAMP-treated astrocytes cultured on the PLL Aclar surfaces exhibited responses that were reminiscent of glial scar formation.
The comparison of dBcAMP-treated and untreated astrocyte responses suggested that different nanophysical cues may have more directive importance in a wound-healing situation. The elasticity property was indicated as potentially more directive for dBcAMP-treated astrocytes than for untreated astrocytes.

Materials & methods
Nanofibrillar scaffolds & comparative culture surfaces
Nanofibrillar scaffolds and comparative culture surfaces: PLL glass, PLL Aclar and Aclar were supplied/prepared as described in [12]. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images (not shown) confirmed uniform coverage of nanofibers with no exposure of the Aclar coverslips. The culture surfaces used for the physical properties investigations were immersed in culture media only (no cells) for 24 h followed by fixative wash and dry.

Primary untreated & dBcAMP-treated astrocyte cultures
Primary untreated astrocyte culture preparation was identical to our previous work [12]. Primary dBcAMP-treated astrocyte culture preparation was as follows [27,28]. Cerebral hemispheres of new born Sprague Dawley rats (postnatal day 1 or 2) were isolated aseptically. Cerebral cortices were dissected out, freed of meninges, and collected in HBSS. They were minced with sterile scissors and digested in 0.1% trypsin and 0.02% DNase for 20 min at 37°C. The softened tissue clumps were then triturated by passing several times through a fine bore glass pipette to obtain a cell suspension. The cell suspension was washed twice with culture media (DMEM + 10% FBS) and filtered through a 40-μm nylon mesh. For culturing, the cell suspension was placed in 75-cm² flasks (one brain/flask) and incubated at 37°C in a humidified CO₂ incubator. After 3 days of incubation the growth medium was removed, cell debris was washed off and fresh medium was added. The medium was changed every 3–4 days. After reaching confluency (~7 days), the cultures were shaken to remove macrophages and other loosely adherent cells. To obtain reactive-like astrocytes, 0.25 mM dBcAMP was added to the culture medium and the serum concentration was reduced to 1%. The cultures in dBcAMP containing medium were incubated for 7–8 more days with a media change every 3–4 days. The morphology of the cells was observed on alternate days under a phase contrast microscope. In the control cultures, the cells were fed with DMEM + 1% FBS (without dBcAMP). All procedures were approved by the Rutgers Animal Care and Facilities Committee (IACUC Protocol #02–004).

After completing dBcAMP treatment, reactive-like astrocytes were then harvested with 0.25% Trypsin/EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich) and re-seeded at a density of 30,000 cells per well directly on 12-mm Aclar or PLL Aclar coverslips, PLL glass coverslips, or on Aclar coverslips coated with nanofibers in 24-well plates in astrocyte medium containing dBcAMP (0.5 ml). After culturing the dBcAMP-treated astrocytes on the aforementioned substrates for 24 h, they were fixed with paraformaldehyde. Parallel cultures were immunostained with GFAP, an identification marker for astrocytes, and more than 95% were found to be GFAP-positive.

Astrocyte density measurement
The dBcAMP-treated astrocyte density on different cell substrates was measured by counting the nuclei from 22 DAPI (Life Technologies) immunofluorescence images per culture surface. Untreated astrocyte density was measured by counting cells from 22 GFAP and CSPG immunofluorescence images. Each image contained approximately 15–30 cells.

AFM
AFM images of astrocytes were captured using a Veeco Instruments Nanoscope IIIA (Bruker AXS Inc., WI, USA; formerly Veeco Metrology) operated in ambient air. A J scanner with 125 μm × 125 μm × 5.548 μm x–y–z scan range was used. The AFM was operated in contact mode silicon nitride tips with a nominal tip radius of 25 nm and cantilever spring constant k = 0.58 N/m (NanoDents SPM tips, Bruker AXS Inc.; formerly Veeco Metrology). Frequency domain Gaussian high pass filtering (GHPF) was used to segment the astrocytes from the substrate backgrounds in AFM height images [29].

Astrocytes cultures prepared for AFM imaging were unstained. Four samples of astrocytes on each substrate were prepared. The uniformity of the four samples on each substrate was assessed by phase contrast microscopy to ensure that the cultures were representative. AFM images of at least 20 astrocytes for each culture surface were evaluated for process length, soma height, and cell shape index (CSI). Variations in astrocyte process length, soma height and CSI data among the culture surfaces were analyzed using ANOVA followed by pairwise post hoc comparisons with Tukey’s test [30]. Significance levels were set at p < 0.05.

Astrocyte process length measurement
Untreated and dBcAMP-treated astrocyte cell process lengths were measured based on GHPF [29] AFM height images. An extension longer than the diameter of a cell soma was considered to be a process.
Astrocyte soma height measurement
Untreated and dBCaMP-treated astrocyte maximum soma height values were measured using the section analysis of Nanoscope Software version 5.30r3.sr3 and NanoScope Analysis 1.10 (Bruker AXS Inc.; formerly Veeco Metrology).

Astrocyte cell shape index
The CSI was defined as the ratio of the perimeter squared to the two-dimensional cell area [31]:

\[ CSI = \frac{P^2}{2\pi A} \quad \text{(Equation 1)} \]

Where \( P \) is the cell perimeter and \( A \) is the cell area. This definition describes stellation as a departure from \( CSI = 1 \) for a circular cell [32,33]. The cell perimeter and area were calculated following manual segmentation of cells from GHPF AFM height images. The procedure was implemented in MATLAB version 7.7.0 (R2008b) Image Processing Toolbox (The MathWorks Inc., MA, USA).

AFM force curves of culture surfaces
Twenty force versus z-piezo displacement curves from each culture surfaces were collected using the force mode analysis in Nanoscope Software version 5.30r3.sr3. The deflection sensitivity was determined from each culture surfaces were collected using the Twenty force versus z-piezo displacement curves AFM force curves of culture surfaces squared to the two-dimensional cell area.

The CSI was defined as the ratio of the perimeter
\[ CSI = \frac{P^2}{2\pi A} \quad \text{(Equation 1)} \]

Astrocyte cell shape index
The CSI was defined as the ratio of the perimeter
\[ CSI = \frac{P^2}{2\pi A} \quad \text{(Equation 1)} \]

Field emission SEM images (not shown).

the protein quantification studies using CLSM. First, immunofluorescence slides were always kept in dark.
Any sample that was exposed to visible light was discarded and not used for protein quantification analysis. Confocal z-series images were captured using an Olympus FluoView 1000 CLSM system attached to an Olympus IX81 automated inverted microscope platform equipped with a 40× oil immersion objective (NA = 1.3). The z-series were acquired under identical imaging conditions, including the same high voltage, offset and gain settings, same objective, and same resolution (1024 × 1024 pixels). The intensity data were collected from samples in three dimensions. The z-step size was set to a level such that the intensity from a fluorophore was only recorded once, and determined by considering the axial resolution of the microscope system. The axial resolution is defined as [42]:

\[ AR = 1.4\lambda_{em}/NA^2 \quad \text{(Equation 6)} \]

Where AR = axial resolution, \( \lambda_{em} \) is the emission wavelength, and NA is the numerical aperture of the objective. In these experiments, the maximum \( \lambda_{em} \) was 576 nm, NA was 1.3, and AR was equal to 477 nm. The z-step size was set to 1.13 μm to avoid over-sampling. The upper and lower focal planes were determined with care as planes of complete darkness above and below any cell. The maximum pixel intensity was set to a level so that none of the pixels were saturated. This was important for both accuracy of quantification and reduction of photobleaching.

Images were analyzed using MATLAB version 7.7.0 (R2008b) Image Processing Toolbox (The MathWorks) and ImageJ version 1.46r (National Institutes of Health). The maximum intensity projection images were obtained from the z-series, the boundaries of the cells were determined manually, and then the total intensity and the number of pixels were calculated by using the z-series and cell boundaries. The cell segmentation was done manually to avoid any error from the software settings since currently there is no robust algorithm for image segmentation. The background intensity was also calculated from slides in three dimensions. The average background intensity values were calculated for each z-series because the autofluorescence was different on different culture surfaces. The average background intensity was then multiplied by the number of pixels of z-series, and subtracted from the total intensity. Finally, the total amount of GFAP or tubulin expression/cell was determined. At least 50 cells were analyzed for each culture surface. Variations in GFAP and tubulin expression estimation data among the culture surfaces were analyzed using ANOVA followed by pairwise post hoc comparisons with Tukey’s test [30]. Significance levels were set at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Colocalization of GFAP & tubulin**

Colocalization analysis was based on three-dimensional z-series GFAP and tubulin immunofluorescence images of ten different regions per each culture. All images had 12 bit gray level depth and 1024 × 1024 pixels. Olympus software FV10-ASW, version 03.01.02.02 (Olympus) was used for the colocalization analysis. The threshold was set manually to a level that minimized background noise. The codependence of GFAP and tubulin expression of untreated and dBcAMP-treated astrocytes was quantitatively investigated by calculating the Pearson’s correlation coefficient and the overlap of GFAP and tubulin was quantitatively investigated by calculating the Mander’s coefficients M1 and M2 [12,42]. M1 shows the portion of the tubulin intensity that coincides with some intensity in the GFAP image, and M2 shows the reverse. Variations in Pearson correlation coefficient (PC), M1 and M2 among the culture surfaces were analyzed using ANOVA followed by pairwise post hoc comparisons with Tukey’s test [30]. Significance levels were set at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Quantitative CSPG expression estimate**

Astrocytes cultured on coverslips of four different substrates were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min, and blocked with 10% normal goat serum for 30 min. After removing the normal goat serum, cells were stained overnight at room temperature in a humidity chamber with monoclonal anti-chondroitin sulfate IGM antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1:200. Coverslips were then washed three times with PBS and stained for 1 h with Alexa 488 goat anti-mouse IgM secondary antibody (Life Technologies) diluted 1:500. After secondary antibody incubation the coverslips were rinsed three times with PBS and mounted on microscopic slides with GelMount (Biotium) and observed under a fluorescence microscope.

The 24-h untreated and dBcAMP-treated astrocyte cultures were used for CSPG quantification. Twelve images were captured from each substrate under identical imaging conditions: 1024 × 1024 pixels, 40× oil objective, same high voltage, gain and offset. The high voltage and offset levels were set to a level so that the pixels were not saturated. Total CSPG intensities of culture surfaces were analyzed using MATLAB version 7.7.0 (R2008b) Image Processing Toolbox (The MathWorks).

Three different sets of control cultures were prepared to ensure the accuracy of the quantification. In the first set, the autofluorescence of primary antibody was measured. Its intensity level was shown to be ignorable. In the second set, the nonspecific binding of the secondary antibody was measured, and the intensity measurements
showed this was also ignorable. In the final control set, cell substrates were treated with primary and secondary antibodies without culturing cells to check if the primary antibody binds to the substrate. As intensities were different on different substrates, each of them was subtracted from the corresponding CSPG intensity. The total CSPG intensity data was then measured. Variations in CSPG expression estimation data among the culture surfaces were analyzed using ANOVA followed by pairwise post hoc comparisons with Tukey’s test [30]. Significance levels were set at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Quantitative Cdc42, Rac1 & RhoA expression estimate**

The dBcAMP-treated astrocytes cultured on the substrates were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 5 min, and blocked with 10% normal goat serum for 30 min. The immunolabeling for Cdc42, Rac1 and RhoA, and the maximum intensity level image capture conditions, were identical to those described in our previous work for untreated astrocytes [12] to enable comparison of results. A total of 240 dBcAMP-treated astrocytes were investigated. Variations in Rho GTPase expression estimation data among the culture surfaces were then analyzed using ANOVA followed by pairwise post hoc comparisons with Tukey’s test. Significance levels were set at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Results**

**Physical properties of culture environments**

**Local elasticity & surface energy investigation**

Reported investigations [18–20] have identified local elasticity as potentially directive. Local elasticity along individual nanofibers was characterized in the present work. All force curves exhibited characteristics of interaction with a relatively firm material with an adhesive component and were therefore interpreted using the DMT elasticity interpretative model for the Young’s modulus that included adhesion forces [43].

Analysis of the Young’s modulus results using median-value box plots (Figure 1A) indicated that PLL glass surfaces presented the hardest and nanofibrillar scaffolds presented the softest culture environments to astrocytes. The Young’s moduli for Aclar and PLL Aclar were similar to each other, and higher in value to those of the nanofibrillar scaffolds. The results indicated that substrate elasticity dominated over the PLL chemical functionalization effect since unfunctionalized and PLL functionalized Aclar had similar elasticity median and variances while PLL functionalized glass and PLL functionalized Aclar had different results for both.

Use of the DMT interpretive model further enabled investigation of the surface energies of the cell substrates by measuring the work of adhesion, \( W_{132} \), between the AFM tip and each culture surface [36]. Analysis of the work of adhesion using median-value box plots (Figure 1B) showed that despite the similar functionalization of PLL glass and PLL Aclar, the work of adhesion median value was higher for PLL Aclar. The combination of chemical functionalization with surface roughness may result in higher work of adhesion, possibly through PLL conformation changes. The highest variance was observed for nanofibrillar scaffolds.

The nanoscopic elasticity, work of adhesion, and surface roughness [12], and the macroscopic surface polarity measured by contact angle [12] of culture surfaces, are summarized as mean values with standard error of the mean (SE) in Figure 2. The work of adhesion and contact angle can both be used for measuring surface energy. Surface energy increases as work of adhesion increases, and work of adhesion decreases as contact angle increases. Therefore, the work of adhesion and the contact angle measurements are consistent (Figures 2B & 2D).

**Astrocyte responses: morphology investigation**

**Cell density**

The initial cell plating density on all surfaces was 66 cells/mm\(^2\). Astrocyte density at 24 h was measured and the results for untreated and dBcAMP-treated astrocytes for each substrate are given in Table 1.

Astrocyte density can be influenced by many factors. The dBcAMP-treated astrocyte density on Aclar was low compared with other substrates, indicating that the cell adhesion and/or proliferation on Aclar surfaces were negatively influenced by the properties of this substrate. The highest dBcAMP-treated astrocyte cell density was observed on the nanofibrillar scaffolds, which indicated that these surfaces were able to modulate cell adhesion and/or proliferation without requiring PLL functionalization.

**Astrocyte morphology investigation by AFM & SRM**

The morphological responses and astrocyte–astrocyte interactions of the dBcAMP-treated astrocytes were investigated in detail using AFM and SRM. Astrocytes on all surfaces except PLL Aclar developed interactions via long processes that suggested contact spacing [44]. Extensive nanoscale process formation was also observed for the astrocytes cultured on PLL glass surfaces (Figure 3A). The nanoscale processes were about approximately 10–50 nm in diameter and were observed around both main processes and somata. The astrocytes cultured on nanofibrillar scaffolds typically developed multiple processes in a stellate pattern (Figure 3B). Astrocytes cultured on Aclar...
surfaces developed directional processes (Figure 3C). Astrocyte–astrocyte interactions were few in number; when present, they were suggestive of contact spacing. Astrocytes on PLL Aclar also developed long processes, but astrocyte interactions consisted of intertwined processes rather than contact spacing. This resulted in chain-like cell clusters reminiscent of glial scar formation (Figure 3D).

Astrocyte quantitative morphology assessment
The morphological responses for the dBcAMP-treated and untreated astrocytes were quantified using process length, maximum soma heights, and CSI measurements taken from the AFM height images. Pairwise comparisons were made for the dBcAMP-treated astrocytes, untreated astrocytes, and dBcAMP-treated versus untreated astrocytes cultured on the same four culture surfaces. The results (Figure 4) are displayed as mean-value bar graphs with ±SE.

Analysis of the process length (Figure 4A) was performed to investigate stellation and reactivity. The dBcAMP-treatment induced significant increase in process length of astrocytes on all cultures surfaces except nanofibrillar scaffolds. Analysis of astrocyte maximum soma heights (Figure 4B) performed to investigate cell spreading behavior indicated that one effect of dBcAMP-treatment was increased uniformity of cell spreading. Analysis of the two-dimensional CSI (Figure 4C) was performed to investigate stellation. The most significant CSI change was observed for dBcAMP-treated versus untreated astrocytes cultured on PLL Aclar (p = 0.0037).

Astrocyte responses
GFAP & tubulin quantification
The total GFAP and tubulin expression of untreated and dBcAMP-treated astrocytes was investigated by confocal z-series. A two-way ANOVA revealed that both the substrate (p < 0.05) and dBcAMP treatment had significant effects on GFAP (Figure 5A) and tubulin (Figure 5B) intensity. GFAP and tubulin expressions of the dBcAMP-treated astrocytes were higher than those of their untreated counterparts for all culture surfaces. The dBcAMP-treated astrocytes cultured on nanofibrillar scaffolds had significantly lower expression of GFAP than PLL Aclar and Aclar, and lower expression of tubulin than Aclar. The dBcAMP-treated astrocytes cultured on Aclar had highest expressions of both GFAP and tubulin.

GFAP & tubulin staining & colocalization
Representative GFAP and tubulin staining images of dBcAMP-treated astrocytes are shown in Figure 6. The observed astrocyte-astrocyte interactions differed significantly as a function of culture surfaces and cell density. The dBcAMP-treated astrocytes cultured on PLL glass, nanofibrillar scaffolds, and Aclar surfaces were typically not clustered, while the dBcAMP-treated astrocytes on the PLL Aclar surfaces (Figure 6) displayed pronounced astrocyte–astrocyte interactions that appeared to recapitulate the chain-like clustering of glial scar formation.

Overlay images of GFAP and tubulin (not shown) consistently indicated an interaction between GFAP and tubulin. The results shown in Figure 6 indicated that dBcAMP-treated astrocytes cultured on nanofibrillar scaffolds did not change either the codendence (PC) or the overlap of GFAP and tubulin (M1 and M2). For all surfaces except nanofibrillar scaffolds, M1 and M2 coefficients significantly increased when they were treated with dBcAMP. The highest PC was observed for astrocytes cultured on Aclar surfaces.
for both untreated and dBcAMP-treated astrocytes, which indicated the highest codependence of GFAP and tubulin. Two-way ANOVA revealed that the PC, M1, and M2 coefficients were significantly influenced by both the substrates and by the dBcAMP treatment (p < 0.05).

CSPG quantification
Expressions of inhibitory proteoglycans CSPG for untreated and dBcAMP-treated astrocytes were analyzed and the results are shown in Figure 7. Both the substrate (p < 0.001) and dBcAMP treatment (p = 0.0014) were statistically significant factors. Results showed that the CSPG expressions of the dBcAMP-treated astrocytes significantly decreased relative to their untreated expressions for astrocytes cultured on the nanofibrillar scaffolds and Aclar surfaces.

**Table 1. Astrocyte density measurement results in cells/mm² (mean ± standard error of the mean).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cells</th>
<th>PLL glass</th>
<th>Nanofibrillar scaffolds</th>
<th>Aclar</th>
<th>PLL aclar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dBcAMP-treated</td>
<td>189 ± 24</td>
<td>206 ± 14</td>
<td>177 ± 7</td>
<td>202 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td>243 ± 26</td>
<td>186 ± 12</td>
<td>173 ± 12</td>
<td>252 ± 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

dBcAMP: Dibutyryladenosine cyclic monophosphate; PLL: Poly-l-lysine.

**Figure 2. Physical properties of culture surfaces. (A) Elasticity, (B) work of adhesion, (C) surface roughness and (D) surface polarity.** The error bars show the standard error of the mean. *p < 0.05.

ACL: Aclar® (Ted Pella Inc., CA, USA); NFS: Nanofibrillar scaffolds; PLLA: Poly-l-lysine Aclar; PLLG: Poly-l-lysine glass; RMS: Root mean squared.

**Cdc42, Rac1 & RhoA quantification**
The dBcAMP-treated astrocytes were immunolabeled by antibodies against Cdc42, Rac1 and RhoA, members of the Rho GTPase family, which are known to be upstream regulators of filopodia, lamellipodia and stress fiber formation, respectively [45]. For dBcAMP-treated astrocytes (Figure 8A), RhoA was depressed relative to Rac1 for all culture surfaces. This result is consistent with reactive process development through a depression of RhoA mechanism [46] in dBcAMP-treated astrocytes. For untreated astrocytes alone (Figure 8B), RhoA was significantly depressed relative to Cdc42 only for astrocytes cultured on the nanofibrillar scaffolds.

For dBcAMP-treated versus untreated astrocytes, Rho GTPase expressions for dBcAMP-treated astrocytes were shown to be modulated by the different
Figure 3. Morphology investigation of dibutyryladenosine cyclic monophosphate-treated astrocytes with (A & C) atomic force microscopy height Gaussian high pass filtering images and (B & D) super-resolution microscopy glial fibrillary acidic protein staining images. (A) Astrocytes cultured on poly-l-lysine glass formed numerous nanoscale processes. (B) Astrocytes cultured on nanofibrillar scaffolds have multiple stellate processes. Astrocytes cultured on (C) Aclar® (Ted Pella Inc., CA, USA) formed few directional processes, and ones on (D) poly-l-lysine Aclar formed overlapping processes.
Figure 4. Atomic force microscopy-based quantitative morphology assessment of untreated and dibutyryl adenosine cyclic monophosphate-treated astrocytes cultured on poly-\(\varepsilon\)-lysine glass, nanofibrillar scaffolds, Aclar® (Ted Pella Inc., CA, USA) and poly-\(\varepsilon\)-lysine Aclar. (A) Average length of astrocyte processes. (B) Average maximum soma height. (C) Average cell shape index results. NFS appear to control the immunoreactivity of astrocytes. The error bars show the standard error of the mean of \(n = 20\) cells.
*\(p < 0.05\).
ACL: Aclar® (Ted Pella Inc., CA, USA); dBcAMP: Dibutyryl adenosine cyclic monophosphate; PLLA: Poly-\(\varepsilon\)-lysine Aclar; PLLG: Poly-\(\varepsilon\)-lysine glass; NFS: Nanofibrillar scaffolds.

culture surfaces (Figure 8A) in ways that differed from the modulation observed for untreated astrocytes (Figure 8B). Comparison of Figures 8A & 8B showed that the dBcAMP treatment of astrocytes reversed the relative expression of Cdc42, Rac1, and RhoA on all culture surfaces, except for the nanofibrillar scaffolds.

Discussion

The present study demonstrated that the physical properties of nanofibrillar scaffolds uniquely influenced the differentiation of dBcAMP-treated astrocytes. Quantitative investigation showed that process lengths for dBcAMP-treated astrocytes were the same to within

Figure 5. Quantitative total (A) glial fibrillary acidic protein and (B) tubulin expression estimate for untreated and dibutyryl adenosine cyclic monophosphate-treated astrocytes. The dBcAMP-treated astrocytes on NFS produced significantly less GFAP than ones on PLLA and ACL, and less tubulin than ones on ACL. Error bars show the standard error of the mean of \(n = 50\) astrocytes. The quantitative total GFAP expression estimate is a relative intensity measurement. The value range for total GFAP expression is 400% when the total GFAP expression of untreated astrocyte on PLLG (shown on the very left side of [A]) is assumed to be 100% (intensities are scaled to untreated PLLG = 100%). This is similar for the total tubulin expression. The value range for total tubulin expression is 1500% when the total tubulin expression of untreated astrocytes on PLLG (shown on the very left side of [B]) is assumed to be 100% (intensities are scaled to untreated PLLG = 100%).
*\(p < 0.05\).
ACL: Aclar® (Ted Pella Inc., CA, USA); dBcAMP: Dibutyryl adenosine cyclic monophosphate; GFAP: Glial fibrillary acidic protein; PLLA: Poly-\(\varepsilon\)-lysine Aclar; PLLG: Poly-\(\varepsilon\)-lysine glass; NFS: Nanofibrillar scaffolds.
Differentiation of reactive-like astrocytes cultured on nanofibrillar & comparative surfaces

Preliminary Communication

Figure 6. Maximum intensity projection glial fibrillary acidic protein and tubulin staining images of dibutyryladenosine cyclic monophosphate-treated astrocytes cultured on poly-l-lysine glass, nanofibrillar scaffolds, Aclar® (Ted Pella Inc., CA, USA) and poly-l-lysine Aclar. (A) Astrocytes on PLLA surfaces formed chain-like glial scar formation while astrocytes on NFS and the other surfaces were not clustered. (B–D) Colocalization analysis results based on 3D GFAP and tubulin immunofluorescence images. Pearson’s correlations of untreated and dBcAMP-treated astrocytes were significantly different for all surfaces except ones on NFS and PLLA. Mander’s coefficient M1 of untreated and dBcAMP-treated astrocytes were significantly different for all surfaces except for astrocytes cultured on NFS and PLLG. Error bars show the standard error of the mean of n = 10 coefficient values measured from confocal z-series.

*p < 0.05.
ACL: Aclar® (Ted Pella Inc., CA, USA); dBcAMP: Dibutyryladenosine cyclic monophosphate; GFAP: Glial fibrillary acidic protein; PLLA: Poly-l-lysine Aclar; PLLG: Poly-l-lysine glass; NFS: Nanofibrillar scaffolds.
Figure 7. Quantitative chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan expression estimation results. NFS and ACL surfaces significantly reduced CSPG expression when the astrocytes were treated with dBcAMP. Error bars show the standard error of the mean of n = 12 confocal z-series.

*p < 0.05.

ACL: Aclar® (Ted Pella Inc., CA, USA); CSPG: Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan; dBcAMP: Dibutyryladenosine cyclic monophosphate; PLLA: Poly-l-lysine Aclar; PLLG: Poly-l-lysine glass; NFS: Nanofibrillar scaffolds.

The dBcAMP-treated astrocytes showed that CSPG intensity was reduced on nanofibrillar scaffolds and on Aclar. The cell density measurements of Table 1 suggested that the observed CSPG level decrease on Aclar might be linked to a decrease in astrocyte numbers for the dBcAMP-treated astrocytes. Astrocyte numbers for the dBcAMP-treated astrocytes on the nanofibrillar scaffolds were the highest observed. This indicates that the interaction with the nanofibrillar scaffolds modulated the observed decreased CSPG expression.

In sharp contrast to the minimal changes and reduction in immunoreactivity responses displayed by dBcAMP-treated astrocytes on the nanofibrillar scaffolds, the responses to PLL Aclar exhibited features reminiscent of glial scar formation. Chain-like clustering of dBcAMP-treated astrocytes with interwoven processes was evident in immunostaining (Figure 6), AFM (not shown), and SRM images (Figure 3D). Pairwise comparisons of dBcAMP-treated and untreated astrocytes showed that the most statistically significant increase in process lengths for dBcAMP-treated astrocytes on PLL Aclar surfaces. In pairwise comparisons of dBcAMP-treated and untreated astrocytes only the dBcAMP-treated astrocytes on PLL Aclar showed a statistically unchanged PC with statistically significant increases in M1 and M2. This indicates that the codependence of GFAP and tubulin did not change but their overlap increased. The immunoreactivity investigation indicated high expressions of both GFAP and tubulin by dBcAMP-treated astrocytes on PLL Aclar. In terms of significance, the GFAP expression was significantly higher than on nanofibrillar scaffolds and the tubulin expression was significantly higher than on PLL glass. The CSPG expression was statistically unchanged in pairwise comparisons of dBcAMP-treated and untreated astrocytes. The greatest changes were therefore observed in proteins associated with morphological responses.

In the present work, the effects of culture surface physical properties on the morphological and biochemical differentiation of dBcAMP-treated cerebral cortical astrocytes (rat model) were investigated in vitro. Four physical properties of the culture surfaces were considered as potentially directive: elasticity,
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work of adhesion, surface polarity and surface roughness. The results demonstrated that the morphological and biochemical differentiation of untreated and dBcAMP-treated astrocytes were modulated by the physical properties of the culture surfaces. Comparison of dBcAMP-treated versus untreated responses further demonstrated that the responses were differently modulated by the same culture surface properties.

In our previous study[12], untreated astrocytes on PLLAclar surfaces developed extensive filopodia formation that infiltrated along valleys created by the nanoscale surface roughness of these surfaces, a response that was not observed on the nanoscale-smooth PLLA glass surfaces. For the dBcAMP-treated astrocytes in the present study, a glial scar type response was observed instead of filopodia formation on the same PLL Aclar surfaces. The physical property investigations summarized in Figure 2 demonstrated that statistically significant elasticity differences were present between the PLL glass and other culture surfaces. Previously reported results[47–49] have indicated increased sensitivity to local elasticity as a response to wound-healing situations. Additionally, comparisons of dBcAMP-treated astrocytes responses on PLL Aclar versus Aclar showed that the surface energy property appeared to be directive. Significantly different reactivity protein

Figure 8. Quantitative Cdc42, Rac1 and RhoA expression estimation results for (A) reactive-like and (B) quiescent astrocytes. Error bars show the standard error of the mean of n = 20 cells.

*p < 0.05.
ACL: Aclar® (Ted Pella Inc, CA, USA); dBcAMP: Dibutyryladenosine cyclic monophosphate; PLLA: Poly-γ-lysine Aclar; PLLG: Poly-γ-lysine glass; NFS: Nanofibrillar scaffolds.
(B) Reproduced with permission from[12].
sus Aclar (softer elasticity and lowest hydrophilicity) variations observed for dBcAMP-treated astrocytes on PLL versus untreated astrocytes. This suggests that scaffolds with appropriate physical properties could alter the immunoreactivity of astrocytes, which may promote axonal regeneration around a lesion site. The polyamide nanofibrillar scaffolds appear to have the optimum physical properties among the culture surfaces considered in this work. Therefore, it remains as a promising candidate for future in vivo investigations.

Conclusion

The morphological and biochemical differentiation of dBcAMP-treated astrocytes cultured on electrospun polyamide nanofibrillar scaffolds, PLL glass, Aclar and PLL Aclar was investigated by immunocytochemistry, colocalization, SRM and AFM. Four physical properties of the culture surfaces were considered as potentially directive: elasticity, work of adhesion, surface polarity and surface roughness. The physical properties of the culture environment were shown to trigger biochemical and morphological consequences for dBcAMP-treated astrocytes. The properties of the nanofibrillar scaffolds appear to reduce immunoreactivity responses while the properties of the PLL Aclar surfaces induced responses reminiscent of glial scar formation. The comparison of dBcAMP-treated and untreated astrocyte responses suggested that different nanophysical cues may have more directive importance in a wound-healing situation than in a maintenance situation. Local elasticity and surface polarity were indicated as potentially directive for dBcAMP-treated cerebral cortical astrocytes.

Future perspective

Results from this research are likely to have a major impact on regenerative medicine aimed at recreating the neural cell system following CNS injury. This is one of the most intractable injury situations, with no cure for the para- or quadra-plegia that results from severe injuries. Furthermore, as cell systems that are influences by scaffold-based environments are ubiquitous throughout the body, identification of the fundamental set of nanoscale properties that promote healthy neural cell physiology and function serves as the basis for the quantitative exploration of other regenerative cell-scaffold systems. In addition to regenerative medicine, quantitative investigation of the nanoscale cues that direct cell–cell interactions will also advance such diverse fields as stem cell and cancer research.
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Executive summary

Astrocyte responses to nanophysical properties
- The nanophysical properties of four culture environments were shown to trigger biochemical and morphological consequences for dibutyryladenosine cyclic monophosphate (dBcAMP)-treated astrocytes. Properties characterized and considered were: nanoscopic elasticity, work of adhesion, surface roughness and macroscopic surface polarity.
- The properties of a promising nanofibrillar scaffold environment were shown to reduce immunoreactivity and inhibitory proteoglycan responses while the properties of a poly-ε-lysine (PLL) Aclar® (Ted Pella Inc., CA, USA) environment were shown to induce responses reminiscent of glial scar formation. Statistically unchanged Rho GTPase expressions were uniquely observed for dBcAMP-treated astrocytes on the nanofibrillar scaffolds.
- Responses of dBcAMP-treated astrocytes were compared with our 2012 investigation of untreated astrocytes responses to the same environments/properties, and indicated that different nanophysical cues may have more directive importance in wound-healing situations versus maintenance situations.
- Quantitative investigations of immunoreactivity protein expressions were performed for dBcAMP-treated astrocytes. Quantitative investigations and comparisons of Rho GTPase protein expressions were performed for dBcAMP-treated and untreated astrocytes. Astrocytes on nanofibrillar scaffolds had unique, minimally changed Rho GTPase protein expressions.
- Quantitative investigations of morphological features: process length, cell spreading and cell shape index were performed for dBcAMP-treated and untreated astrocytes. Astrocytes on nanofibrillar scaffolds had unique, minimally changed morphological responses.
- The present work contributes quantitative investigations to an integrated physics–biology research area that is attracting increasing attention: the regulation of cell responses by topographical cues at the nano level.

Nanophysical property measurements
- Elasticity characterization of culture surfaces showed statistically significant differences between PLL glass, Aclar and nanofibrillar scaffolds. Nanofibrillar scaffolds were softest. Work of adhesion characterization was consistent with the macroscopic surface polarity of culture surfaces.
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• Showed that the stellation of astrocytes can be induced by Rho kinase inhibitors.

