

The Yoneda Lemma

1. THE YONEDA LEMMA

Theorem 1.1 (Yoneda Lemma). *Let \mathcal{C} be a locally small category, $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ a functor and a an object in \mathcal{C} . Then there is a bijection*

$$y: [\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set}](\mathcal{C}(a, -), F) \simeq Fa$$

natural in both F and a .

Proof. We define the following map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Nat}(\mathcal{C}(a, -), F) &\xrightarrow{y} Fa \\ \eta &\mapsto \eta_a(1_a) \end{aligned}$$

To see that y is surjective, let $x \in Fa$. Define the natural transformation \hat{x} whose components are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(a, b) &\xrightarrow{\hat{x}_b} Fb \\ g &\mapsto Fg(x) \end{aligned}$$

To see that this is indeed a natural transformation note that for any $h: b \rightarrow c$ in \mathcal{C} we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{x}_c \circ h^*(g) &= \hat{x}_c(h \circ g) \\ &= F(h \circ g)(x) \\ &= Fh(Fg(x)) \\ &= Fh(\hat{x}_b(g)) \\ &= Fh \circ \hat{x}_b \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$y(\hat{x}) = \hat{x}_a(1_a) = F(1_a)(x) = 1_{Fa}(x) = x$$

Therefore y is surjective.

To see that y is injective, let $\alpha, \eta \in \text{Nat}(\mathcal{C}(a, -), F)$ and assume that $y(\eta) = y(\alpha)$ which implies

$$(1) \quad \eta_a(1_a) = \alpha_a(1_a)$$

To show that $\alpha = \eta$ it suffices to show that $\eta_b = \alpha_b$ for all b in \mathcal{C} and to show the latter it suffices to show that $\eta_b(g) = \alpha_b(g)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{C}(a, b)$. To that end, for any $g \in \mathcal{C}(a, b)$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{def. of } g^*) \quad \eta_b(g) &= \eta_b(g^*(1_a)) \\ (\text{naturality of } \eta) &= Fg(\eta_a(1_a)) \\ (1) &= Fg(\alpha_a(1_a)) \\ (\text{naturality of } \alpha) &= \alpha_b(g^*(1_a)) \\ (\text{def. of } g^*) &= \alpha_b(g) \end{aligned}$$

Now to show that y is natural in both F and a we need to show that y defines a natural transformation between appropriate functors. To that end we define the

following functors N and ev :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(F, a) & \mapsto & Fa \\
\downarrow (\eta, f) & \mapsto & \downarrow \eta_b \circ Ff \\
(G, b) & \mapsto & Gb \\
\text{Set}^{\mathcal{C}} \times \mathcal{C} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{ev} \\ \xrightarrow{N} \end{array} & \text{Set} \\
(F, a) & \mapsto & \text{Nat}(\mathcal{C}(a, -), F) \\
\downarrow (\eta, f) & \mapsto & \downarrow \alpha \mapsto \eta \circ \alpha \circ f^* \\
(G, b) & \mapsto & \text{Nat}(\mathcal{C}(b, -), G)
\end{array}$$

To see that y defines a natural transformation $N \Rightarrow ev$ it suffices to check that for each $(\eta, f): (F, a) \rightarrow (G, b)$ in $\text{Set}^{\mathcal{C}} \times \mathcal{C}$ that the following square commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Nat}(\mathcal{C}(a, -), F) & \xrightarrow{y_{(F,a)}} & Fa \\
\downarrow N(\eta, f) & & \downarrow ev(\eta, f) \\
\text{Nat}(\mathcal{C}(b, -), G) & \xrightarrow{y_{(G,b)}} & Gb
\end{array}$$

To that end, let $\alpha \in \text{Nat}(\mathcal{C}(a, -), F)$ and observe

$$\begin{aligned}
ev(\eta, f) \circ y_{(F,a)}(\alpha) &= \eta_b Ff(\alpha_a(1_a)) \\
\text{(naturality of } \alpha) &= \eta_b \alpha_b(f) \\
\text{(definition of } f^*) &= \eta_b \alpha_b(f^*(1_b)) \\
&= (\eta \circ \alpha \circ f^*)_b(1_b) \\
&= y_{(G,b)}(\eta \circ \alpha \circ f^*) \\
&= y_{(G,b)} \circ N(\eta, f)(\alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1.2. *The Yoneda embedding $y: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\mathcal{C}^{op}}$ is full and faithful.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that natural transformations $\mathcal{C}(-, a) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, b)$ are in bijective correspondence to arrows $\mathcal{C}(a, b)$. By the Yoneda Lemma with $\mathcal{C}(-, b)$ as our F we get

$$\mathcal{C}(a, b) \simeq [\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Set}](\mathcal{C}^{op}(a, -), \mathcal{C}(-, b)) = [\mathcal{C}^{op}, \text{Set}](\mathcal{C}(-, a), \mathcal{C}(-, b))$$

□

Corollary 1.3. *Every natural transformation $\alpha: \mathcal{C}(-, a) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}(-, b)$ is of the form f^* for some $f: a \rightarrow b$.*

Proof. Since \mathbf{y} is full, for every $\alpha \in \text{Nat}(\mathcal{C}(-, a), \mathcal{C}(-, b))$ there is $f: a \rightarrow b$ in \mathcal{C} such that $f^* = \alpha$. \square

Corollary 1.4. *The Yoneda embedding is conservative. That is, if $\mathbf{y}a \cong \mathbf{y}b$ then $a \cong b$.*

Proof. Since \mathbf{y} is full and faithful, the result follows from the more general result that every full and faithful functor is conservative. \square

Corollary 1.5. *Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be a functor and a and b representing objects for F . Then a and b are isomorphic. That is, representing objects are unique up to isomorphism.*

Proof. If a and b are representing objects for F then we have $\mathcal{C}(a, -) \cong F \cong \mathcal{C}(b, -)$ and therefore $\mathbf{y}a = \mathcal{C}(a, -) \cong \mathcal{C}(b, -) = \mathbf{y}b$. By Corollary 1.4, $a \cong b$. \square

Corollary 1.6. *Let $F: \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be a contravariant functor. Then $\int F \simeq \mathbf{y} \downarrow \hat{F}$.*

Proof. Define the following functor:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{y} \downarrow \hat{F} & \xrightarrow{K} & \int F \\ \\ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}(-, a) \xrightarrow{\eta} F & \mapsto & (a, y(\eta)) \\ \downarrow \mathcal{C}(-, a) \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathcal{C}(-, b) & \mapsto & \downarrow f \\ \mathcal{C}(-, b) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} F & \mapsto & (b, y(\epsilon)) \end{array} \end{array}$$

It is straightforward to check that it is an equivalence, and indeed an isomorphism. To see that K is essentially surjective note that given an element (a, x) in $\int F$ we automatically get a natural transformation $y(x): \mathcal{C}(-, a) \Rightarrow F$ whose image under K is exactly (a, x) . The fact that it is full and faithful follows from noting that for any $f: a \rightarrow b$ in \mathcal{C} and any $x \in Fa$ and $z \in Fb$, we have $Ff(x) = z$ iff $y(z)f^* = y(x)$ iff f^* is a morphism in $\mathbf{y} \downarrow \hat{F}$. \square

Corollary 1.7 (Cayley's Theorem). *Any group is isomorphic to a subgroup of a permutation group.*

Proof. Let G be a group. Regard it as a one-object category which we will write as \mathbf{BG} and as usual we write $*$ for the only object of \mathbf{BG} . Then we have the following series of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} G &\cong \mathbf{BG}(*, *) \\ \text{(by Corollary 1.2)} &\cong \mathbf{Set}^{\mathbf{BG}^{op}}(\mathbf{y}*, \mathbf{y}*) \\ \text{(by regarding } G \text{ as a } G\text{-set)} &\cong \mathbf{Set}\text{-}G(G, G) \\ &\cong \{\pi \in \text{Sym}(G) \mid \pi \text{ is a group homomorphism}\} \\ &=_{\text{df}} \text{Aut}(G) \end{aligned}$$

But $\text{Aut}(G)$ is a subgroup of the symmetry group $\text{Sym}(G)$ of the (underlying set of) G . \square